APR 0 8 2004 8

| JENNI- | | PTO/SB/21 (08-03) Approved for use through 08/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person | U.S. Pater as are required to respond to a collecti | nt and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ion of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. | |
| | Application Number | 10/091,308 | |
| TRANSMITTAL | Filing Date | March 5, 2002 | |
| FORM | First Named Inventor | VLADIMIR PRERAD | |
| (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) | Art Unit | 1745 | |
| | Examiner Name | Gregg Cantelmo | |
| Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3 | Attorney Docket Number | 288476-00001 | |
| ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) | | | |
| Fee Transmittal Form | Drawing(s) | After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC) | |
| Fee Attached | Licensing-related Papers | Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences | |
| Zanichamenortepiy (= FF7 | Petition | Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) | |
| | Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application | Proprietary Information | |
| | Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Addr | ress Status Letter | |
| | Terminal Disclaimer | Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): | |
| | Request for Refund | - Return post card | |
| | CD, Number of CD(s) | | |
| Certified Copy of Priority Remai | rks | | |
| Document (s) | | | |
| Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application | | | |
| Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 | | | |
| | | | |
| SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT | | | |
| Firm or Richard V. Westerhoff, Reg. No. 24,454 | | | |
| Individual name | | | |
| Signature Lieberry United | | | |
| Date April 6, 2004 | | | |
| CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING | | | |
| I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with | | | |
| sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope add the date shown below. | dressed to: Commissioner for Pa | tents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on | |
| Typed or printed name Richard V. Wester | hoff | | |
| | $/ \setminus 1.0 m$ | Date April 6, 2004 | |
| Signature ZüloveXV | noverly | Date April 6, 2004 | |
| This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or letain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to | | | |
| process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This solitect is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and | | | |
| Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. | | | |

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



THE STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Application of |) |
|---|---|
| VLADIMIR PRERAD |) Docket No. 288476-00001 |
| Filed: March 5, 2002 |) Group Art: 1745 |
| Serial No.: 10/091,308 |) Examiner: Gregg Cantelmo |
| Entitled: |) Confirmation No.: 8106 |
| HYDROGEN BASED ENERGY STORAGE APPARATUS AND METHOD |))) |
| | 600 Grant Street, 44th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 April 6, 2004 |

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

Ì

On March 9, 2004, the Examiner issued a Restriction Requirement in the subject application. Specifically, the Examiner identified three groups of claims as follows:

Group I: Claims 1-11

Group II: Claims 12-20

Group III: Claims 21-32

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant elects for prosecution in the instant application the claims of Group I, i.e., Claims 1-11.

The Examiner further required election between species with regard to the claims of Group I. In particular, the Examiner identified the following species among the claims of Group I:

Species I, Claims 8-9 to a fuel cell;

Species II, Claims 10-11 to a combustion engine.

Applicant further elects Species I, Claims 8-9 within Group I. As required by the Restriction Requirement, Applicant identifies Claims 1 through 9 as readable on Species I.

It is noted that the Examiner has indicated that Claim 1 is generic as to the species of Group I.

This election of group and species is made without traverse.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard V. Westerhoff Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 24,454

(412) 566-6090

1